

|   |                                   |                         |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>            | <b>Applicant(s)</b>     |  |
|   | 10/535,577                        | BUSCH ET AL.            |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>D. S. Nakarani | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1794 |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ashley I. Pezzner, Attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 February 2010

**Time:** 9:50 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Ashley I. Pezzner agreed to amend claims 20 and 22 to overcome art rejection. For details of the agreement, see Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/D. S. Nakarani/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)